

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO MPI00- 133M 5304 Vito J. Palombella 10/27/2000 09/697,898 EXAMINER 7590 03/03/2004 SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH Intellectual Property Group MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS INC PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 75 Sidney Street Cambridge, MA 02139 1652

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/697,898	PALOMBELLA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims	,	
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>53-72</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>53-72</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat crity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) ☐ Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Art Unit: 1652

Claim 60 is confusing as reciting "A <u>method</u> for producing a <u>MEKK1</u> polypeptide comprising a <u>method</u>" (emphasis added). Amending the claim to recite "A <u>method</u> for producing a <u>MEKK1</u> polypeptide comprising the step of maintaining a host cell under conditions suitable for expression, wherein said host cell comprises a recombinant nucleic acid selected from the group consisting of: a) ..., b) ..., c) ..., and d) ...", for example, is suggested.

Furthermore, claim 60 (b) recites "said fragment encodes an amino acid comprising at least 500 contiguous amino acids of SEQ ID NO:2" (emphasis added). "an amino acid does not comprise 500 amino acids". Amending the claim to recite "a recombinant nucleic acid comprising a fragment of SEQ ID NO:1 encoding a fragment of SEQ ID NO:2 consisting of at least 500 contiguous amino acids of SEQ ID NO:2, wherein said fragment of SEQ ID NO: 2 has MEKK1 activity", for example, is suggested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 53-59 and 61-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Xia et al.

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed December 11, 2003 amending the specification to delete references to SEQ ID NO:3, amending claims 53, 43, 56, 57 and 60 and adding claims 61-72 has been entered.

Claims 53-72 are pending.

Specification

The specification is objected to because it is not in compliance with the Sequence Rules. 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 require for each sequence present in the specification to be assigned a sequence identifier. The same sequence under the same sequence identifier should be listed in the Sequence Listing.

The specification as amended December 11, 2003 contains no SEQ ID NO:3 that is listed in the Sequence listing.

A substitute Sequence listing and the computer readable form thereof are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 53, 56 and 57 recite a nucleic acid which has at least 90% sequence identity with nucleotides 7-4545 of SEQ ID NO:1.

Xia et al. teach a nucleic acid encoding MEKK1. Said sequence is more than 90% identical to nucleotides 7-4545 of SEQ ID NO:1. Further, Xia et al. teach a vector and a host cell comprising said nucleic acid sequence (pages 3378-3379, Materials and methods).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 11, 2003 have been fully considered. Applicants indicate support for the amendment and state that in view of the amendment the outstanding rejections should be withdrawn (Remarks, page 15-16). However, the same type of rejection is required for the amended claims, *supra*.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Art Unit: 1652

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD whose telephone number is 571-272-0941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 - 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, PhD can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhĎ

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

March 1, 2004